

## References

- Abeillé, A.: 2002. *Une grammaire électronique du français*. CNRS Editions. Paris.  
Description of the choices made in the French TAG.
- Abeillé, A., Schabes, Y. and Joshi, A. K.: 1990. Using lexicalized TAGs for machine translation. *Proceedings of COLING*. Helsinki.
- Aho, A. V. 1968. Indexed grammars – an extension of context-free grammars. *Journal of the ACM* **15**(4), 647–671.
- Becker, T., Joshi, A. K. and Rambow, O.: 1991. Long-distance scrambling and tree adjoining grammars. *Proceedings of ACL-Europe*.
- Bertsch, E. and Nederhof, M.-J.: 2001. On the complexity of some extensions of RCG parsing. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Workshop on Parsing Technologies*. Beijing, China. pp. 66–77.
- Boullier, P.: 1999. On TAG Parsing. *TALN 99, 6<sup>e</sup> conférence annuelle sur le Traitement Automatique des Langues Naturelles*. Cargèse, Corse. pp. 75–84.
- Boullier, P.: 2000. Range Concatenation Grammars. *Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on Parsing Technologies (IWPT2000)*. Trento, Italy. pp. 53–64.
- Bresnan, J., Kaplan, R. M., Peters, S. and Zaenen, A. 1982. Cross-serial dependencies in Dutch. *Linguistic Inquiry* **13**(4), 613–635. Reprinted in Savitch et al. (1987).  
This paper argues that the strong generative capacity of CFG is too restricted to deal with cross-serial dependencies in Dutch. The paper proposes also an LFG analysis of the data.
- Candito, M.-H. and Kahane, S.: 1998. Can the TAG Derivation Tree represent a Semantic Graph? an Answer in the Light of Meaning-Text Theory. *Fourth International Workshop on Tree Adjoining Grammars and Related Frameworks, IRCS Report 98–12*. University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia. pp. 25–28.
- Chomsky, N.: 2000. Minimalist inquiries: The framework. in R. Martin, D. Michaels and J. Uriagereka (eds), *Step by step: Essays on minimalist syntax in honor of Howard Lasnik*. MIT Press. Cambridge, Mass.. pp. 89–155.
- Chomsky, N.: 2001. Derivation by phase. in M. Kenstowicz (ed.), *Ken Hale: A life in language*. MIT Press. Cambridge, Mass.. pp. 1–52.
- Frank, R.: 1992. *Syntactic Locality and Tree Adjoining Grammar: Grammatical, Acquisition and Processing Perspectives*. PhD thesis. University of Pennsylvania.
- Frank, R.: 2002. *Phrase Structure Composition and Syntactic Dependencies*. MIT Press. Cambridge, Mass.  
Very good book about TAG as a linguistic theory. It formulates principles of minimalist grammar in TAG.
- Gazdar, G.: 1988. Applicability of Indexed Grammars to Natural Languages. in U. Reyle and C. Rohrer (eds), *Natural Language Parsing and Linguistic Theories*. D. Reidel. pp. 69–94.
- Ginsburg, S.: 1966. *The Mathematical Theory of Context Free Languages*. McGraw Hill. New York.
- Hopcroft, J. E. and Ullman, J. D.: 1979. *Introduction to automata theory, languages and computation*. Addison Wesley.  
Very good textbook on formal languages. German translation in Hopcroft and Ullman 1994.
- Hopcroft, J. E. and Ullman, J. D.: 1994. *Einführung in die Automatentheorie, Formale Sprachen und Komplexitätstheorie*. 3. edn. Addison Wesley.

- Joshi, A. K.: 1985. Tree adjoining grammars: How much context-sensitivity is required to provide reasonable structural descriptions?. in D. Dowty, L. Karttunen and A. Zwicky (eds), *Natural Language Parsing*. Cambridge University Press. pp. 206–250.  
This paper brings forward the notion of mildly context-sensitivity. It contains interesting facts about the classes of languages generated by TAG without adjoining constraints and TAG with adjoining constraints. However, the definition of adjoining constraints is not the one currently used (even though the results hold for both.).
- Joshi, A. K.: 1987. An introduction to Tree Adjoining Grammars. in A. Manaster-Ramer (ed.), *Mathematics of Language*. John Benjamins. Amsterdam. pp. 87–114.
- Joshi, A. K. and Schabes, Y.: 1997. Tree-Adjoining Grammars. in G. Rozenberg and A. Salomaa (eds), *Handbook of Formal Languages*. Springer. Berlin. pp. 69–123.  
Good Introduction to TAG treating the formalism, formal properties, aspects of lexicalization, and parsing.
- Joshi, A. K., Levy, L. S. and Takahashi, M. 1975. Tree Adjunct Grammars. *Journal of Computer and System Science* **10**, 136–163.  
The first paper on TAG.
- Kallmeyer, L.: 1999. *Tree Description Grammars and Underspecified Representations*. PhD thesis. Universität Tübingen. Technical Report IRCS-99-08 at the Institute for Research in Cognitive Science, Philadelphia.
- Kallmeyer, L. 2005. Tree-local Multicomponent Tree Adjoining Grammars with Shared Nodes. *Computational Linguistics* **31**(2), 187–225.
- Koller, A., J. Niehren, and S. Thater: 2003, ‘Bridging the Gap Between Underspecification Formalisms: Hole Semantics as Dominance Constraints’. In: *Meeting of the European Chapter of the Association of Computational Linguistics*. pp. 195–202.
- Kroch, A. S.: 1987. Unbounded dependencies and subjacency in a Tree Adjoining Grammar. in A. Manaster-Ramer (ed.), *Mathematics of Language*. John Benjamins. Amsterdam. pp. 143–172.
- Kroch, A.: 1989. Asymmetries in long-distance extraction in a Tree Adjoining Grammar. in Baltin and Kroch (eds), *Alternative Conceptions of Phrase Structure*. University of Chicago.
- Kroch, A. S. and Joshi, A. K.: 1987. Analyzing extraposition in a tree adjoining grammar. in G. J. Huck and A. E. Ojeda (eds), *Syntax and Semantics: Discontinuous Constituency*. Academic Press, Inc.. pp. 107–149.  
Proposes an analysis of extraposition using tree-local MCTAG.
- Kroch, A. and Santorini, B.: 1991. The derived constituent structure of the West Germanic verb raising construction. in R. Freidin (ed.), *Principles and parameters in comparative grammar*. MIT Press. Cambridge, Mass.. pp. 268–338.  
Proposes a TAG analysis for cross-serial dependencies.
- Kulick, S. N.: 2000. *Constraining Non-local Dependencies in Tree Adjoining Grammar: Computational and Linguistic Perspectives*. PhD thesis. University of Pennsylvania.
- Nederhof, M.-J.: 1998. An alternative LR algorithm for TAGs. *Proceedings of ACL*. Montreal, Canada.
- Parikh, R. 1966. On context-free languages. *Journal of the ACM* **13**, 570–581.
- Rajasekaran, S. and Yooseph, S.: 1995. TAL recognition in  $\mathcal{O}(m(n^2))$ . *Proceedings of ACL*. Cambridge, MA.
- Rambow, O.: 1994. *Formal and Computational Aspects of Natural Language Syntax*. PhD thesis. University of Pennsylvania.  
Very good proposal of an extension of TAG in order to deal with scrambling in so-called free word order languages.

- Rambow, O., Vijay-Shanker, K. and Weir, D. 2001. D-Tree Substitution Grammars. *Computational Linguistics* **27**(1), 87–121.
- Rogers, J.: 1994. *Studies in the Logic of Trees with Applications to Grammar Formalisms*. PhD thesis. University of Delaware.
- Rogers, J. and Vijay-Shanker, K. 1994. Obtaining trees from their descriptions: an application to Tree-Adjoining Grammars. *Computational Intelligence* **10**(4), 401–421.
- Satta, G. 1994. Tree-Adjoining Grammar Parsing and Boolean Matrix Multiplication. *Computational Linguistics* **20**(2), 173–191.
- Satta, G. and Schuler, W.: 1998. Restrictions on Tree Adjoining Languages. *Proceedings of COLING-ACL '98*.
- Savitch, W. J., Bach, E., Marxh, W. and Safran-Naveh, G. (eds): 1987. *The Formal Complexity of Natural Language*. Studies in Linguistics and Philosophy. Reidel. Dordrecht, Holland.
- Schabes, Y.: 1990. *Mathematical and Computational Aspects of Lexicalized Grammars*. PhD thesis. University of Pennsylvania.
- Schabes, Y. and Joshi, A. K.: 1988. An Earley-type parsing algorithm for Tree Adjoining Grammars. *Proceedings of ACL*.
- Schabes, Y. and Shieber, S. M. 1994. An Alternative Conception of Tree-Adjoining Derivation. *Computational Linguistics* **20**(1), 91–124.
- Schabes, Y. and Vijay-Shanker, K.: 1990. Deterministic left to right parsing of tree adjoining languages. *Proceedings of ACL*. Pittsburgh.
- Shieber, S. M. 1985. Evidence against the context-freeness of natural language. *Linguistics and Philosophy* **8**, 333–343.  
Convincing proof that natural languages are not weakly context-free. The argument considers cross-serial dependencies in Swiss German. Reprinted in Savitch et al. (1987).
- Shieber, S. M. 1994. Restricting the weak-generative capacity of synchronous Tree-Adjoining Grammars. *Computational Intelligence* **10**(4), 271–385.
- Shieber, S. M. and Schabes, Y.: 1990. Synchronous Tree-Adjoining Grammars. *Proceedings of COLING*. pp. 253–258.
- Shieber, S. M. and Schabes, Y. 1991. Generation and synchronous tree-adjoining grammars. *Computational Intelligence* **7**, 220–228.
- Srinivas, B. and Joshi, A. K. 1999. Supertagging: an approach to almost parsing. *Computational Linguistics* **25**(2), 237–265.
- Vijay-Shanker, K.: 1987. *A Study of Tree Adjoining Grammars*. PhD thesis. University of Pennsylvania. Thesis on TAG and its mathematical properties, contains among others a pumping lemma for TAG and an automaton for TAG. Introduces Feature-Structure-Based TAG (FTAG).
- Vijay-Shanker, K. 1992. Using descriptions of trees in a tree adjoining grammar. *Computational Linguistics* **18**(4), 481–517.
- Vijay-Shanker, K. and Joshi, A. K.: 1985. Some computational properties of Tree Adjoining Grammars. *Proceedings of ACL*.
- Vijay-Shanker, K. and Joshi, A. K.: 1988. Feature structures based tree adjoining grammar. *Proceedings of COLING*. Budapest. pp. 714–719.

Weir, D. J.: 1988. *Characterizing mildly context-sensitive grammar formalisms*. PhD thesis. University of Pennsylvania.

Introduces linear context-free rewriting systems and relates them to MCTAG.

XTAG Research Group: 2001. A Lexicalized Tree Adjoining Grammar for English. *Technical report*. Institute for Research in Cognitive Science.

Detailed description of the English TAG developed in Philadelphia. Available from <ftp://ftp.cis.upenn.edu/pub/xtag/release-2.24.2001/tech-report.pdf>.